

NAAC ACCREDITED 'A' GRADE

Nirma University Academic Development and Research Cell Academic Audit Level 4 – Audit by External Experts / Agency

Academic excellence is just not attained merely by imparting curriculum based leaning - teaching exercises, but by ensuring the quality in every activity that makes learning effective, meaningful and societal. An important step towards ensuring quality education at Universities and institutions is to drive the spirit to deliver only the best, to motivate and to offer new dimensions through the rigor of academic audit.

At Nirma University, the perfection is not only cherished, but constantly pursued upon. To ensure the sustained improvements, to get new sense of direction and to enhance the quality, it is planned to conduct a third party academic audit in addition to three level of academic audits being conducted by internal or partly external teams.

Objectives of the academic audit by external experts / agency:

1. To get the review and feedback from external experts on the academic processes at the constituent institutes

2. To create a quality culture, sustain quality initiatives and to enhance quality standards

3. To ensure quality enhancement in academic, research, consultancy and extension activities

4. To constantly boost the institutions towards improvements, strive to fulfil vision and mission of the University

5. To drive towards societal challenges, innovations and interdisciplinary

6. To learn and adapt new changes in the learning processes and education technologies

7. To review the compliance done in the areas of weakness, opportunities etc. pointed out by accreditation agency (agencies) during the accreditation process as well as sustainability of strengths identified

Academic Audit Panel Structure:

The academic audit (be external experts / agency) committee per institute (or department) shall be comprised of two (or more) external members as considered deemed fit by the Director General (DG), Nirma University. The DG shall nominate the Chairman (based on the stature of the external members) and the University nominee appointed by the DG shall act as the (member) secretary for the audit panel.

The external member committee shall be comprised of a visionary / relevant The external member committee shall be comprised of the DG may constitute academicians / researchers and (or) domain experts. The DG may constitute academicians / researchers and (or) domain experts. The DG may constitute (or department (s)) The committee of experts by selection for each institute (or department tenure of the each committee shall be for one audit session.

The Role of the Audit Panel:

The panel constituted for every institute shall carry out the audit with a view to appraise the good practices, highlight the strengths, guide to overcome weakness(es) and suggest improvements in the teaching – learning, academic and administrative processes, if any to the constituent institutes. The audit panel should conduct audit on various parameters, usually based on the criteria set by national accreditation agencies, bodies like UGC, NAAC, NBA, KCG etc. The committee shall also see the compliance and progress by the institute (or department) to the findings of the previous committee visit (University level audit or accreditation body) as well as the sustenance of the strength identified.

The committee shall be provided with the responses to the questionnaire in Appendix – A, filled by the respective institute (or department). The department / institute shall make a presentation before the committee at the time of audit. The review period shall be for one year period i.e. Jan. – Dec. for the academic activities and finance related details shall be for the financial year i.e. Apr. – March.

Based on the responses, visits to ongoing classrooms, tutorials, laboratories/studios/moot courts etc., interactions with selected students – faculty, staff and verification of the facts, the audit panel shall discuss their findings with the concern HoI (and HoD) during exit meeting. The panel shall allot the grade in the evaluation sheet and give the recommendations to enhance the academic processes, learning – teaching activities, promotion of the research and consultancy, guidance to persevere the quality, possible improvements, innovations and to meet the objectives of the audit.

Administrative Processes:

The said audit is proposed to be conducted every alternate year. The audit panel be constituted as per the above guidelines in the month of February / March in the given year and the panel per institute (or department) shall meet, preferably in the month of April (i.e. before end of the even semester). The audit panel shall have an interaction with the university officials before commencement of audit process. During the interaction, the committee shall be briefed by the DG and his team about the purpose, process and expectations. The rigorous audit process shall be conducted at a constituent institute to assess on all the criterion.

The report of the committee will be submitted to the DG, NU and the same will be discussed by the committee with DG and his team. Based on the recommendations of the audit panel (for each institute), the DG, NU may ask for the action plan and the observed lacuna be addressed by the institute / department concerned or by competent authorities. Accordingly, the institutes shall put efforts to sustain the best practices, take the corrective measures lift the academic and research standards further. Institutes / departments / ADR Cell may be asked to conduct programmes according to the recommendations for academic quality enhancement.

The guidelines shall be reviewed as and when required by the committee designated by the DG, NU and the DG, NU can make necessary changes based on the recommendations.

Appendix - A Questionnaire

Institute of	, Nirma University
(Review Period:	to found untilestit.)
(Review Ferrous	/

Responses are required with reference to the previous year University Audit / Observations of National Accreditation Agency (Agencies) and the efforts / action taken by institute / Departments

1.	Strengths identified	
	CITATO A SECURITO DE LA COMPANSA DEL COMPANSA DE LA COMPANSA DEL COMPANSA DE LA C	A CANADA
2.	Weaknesses identified	• A • B
		List action taken / efforts to overcome the weaknesses mentioned • C
		• D
3.	Opportunities suggested	• A
		• B
		List efforts to tap the opportunities
		• C • D
4.	Challenges identified	• A • B
		List action taken / efforts to improve upon the challenges highlighted C D
5.	December detices and	No. (1) and (1
5.	Recommendations and action taken (if any)	
6.	Note on best practices and	
	sustenance	and the same of th
1		A Nors

Part B

(to be filled by the Institute / Department) (Responses are expected for the review period, unless specified) (Review Period: _____)

Sr. No.	Question	Resp	onse	
1.	Institute / Department Profile	No. of Programmes:		
		Level of Programme:		
		Intake (plus lateral ent	ry students)	:
		Any new programme in	itiated:	
2.	Student Teacher Ratio	UG:		
	(w.r.t. full – time faculty and total student strength)	PG:		
3.	Student Computer Ratio	UG:		
		PG:		
4.	Student diversity (for entire	Programme	UG	PG
	period of programme)	Male		
		Female		
		Within State	John Lindson	
		Outside State		
		Outside Country		7 11 10
		Total		
5.	Faculty diversity	Male		
		Female		
		Total		
		From within State		
		From outside State		
		From outside Country	Crowler half	
		Highest degree from otl	her Universi	ity:
6.	Faculty Positions (nos.)	Regular full time facult	У	
		Research Chair:		
		Professor Emeritus:		
A set		Adjunct Faculty:		
		Visiting Faculty (with q	ualification	delalis
7.	Percentage of faculty with			15
	Ph.D. Qualification		[*	111

0		cts, Teaching – Learning and Evaluation
8.	Percentage of course	
	updation / major syllabus	
	restructuring was carried	
	out (programme wise) (with	With the William Control of the Cont
	benchmarking references)	
9.	Academic flexibility (in	General description and course diversity, i
	terms of course selection	any:
	offered to students)	
	(programme wise)	
10.	Course delivery and	Details, improvements and innovations:
	monitoring mechanism	Betans, improvements and innovations.
	(programme wise)	
11.		The state of the s
11.	Design of experiments /	
	case studies / experiential	
	learning / studio work and	
	innovation introduced	
12.	Facilities (new /	Library as learning center:
	upgradation) and budget	
	usage	No. of books added:
		No. of book titles added:
		No. of online resources supported:
		and the state of t
		No. of Audio / video resources added:
		rio. of riddle / video resources added.
		Library budget utilized (Amount in Rs):
		Library budget utilized (Allibuilt III RS).
		(Attach complete statistics as 1:1
110		(Attach complete statistics on library usage).
1636		T 1 C 114 1144
		Lab facility additions –
		Lab space added (if any):
		Research facilities added (Nos., Amount):
2 5		
		Major equipment added (Nos., Amount):
		1 1
		Software added (Nos., Amount):
	The way and the same in the same	The second of th
		Computers (Added/upgraded):
		computers (Added) apgraded):
		IOTS C. 1111 1 1 1 1 1
The same		ICT facilities added / improved (details rend):
2 8 1 1	A Charles	Juma Un
18		The second of

		Any other facility added / improved (details reqd):
		Hostel Facilities (Addition / Improvement):
13.	Percentage of Tutorial / studios / moot court / case studies Conducted by Institute (Departments) per programme:	
14.	Percentage of Courses with active blog / website	
	% courses with ICT usage, Moodle usage	
15.	Collaborative teaching – learning activities at department / institute	No. of Guest / Expert lectures: No. of Industrial/ field visits: External faculty engagement for long duration:
	e de la companie de La companie de la co	Any other collaborative sessions:
16.	Interdisciplinary course(s) introduced: Interdisciplinary course(s) offered:	
17.	Doctoral Research	No. of Ph.D. Scholars ongoing: • Full – time: • Part – time / External:
	Andreas South Addition	Ph.D. Completed (during review period):
A MAI		No. of Ph.D. Scholars enrolled:

		Productivity of doctoral students (in terms of research paper publications, patents, lab / facility enhancement etc):
Crite	erion II: Research Publicatio	ns / Promotion, Consultancy, Training and Extension
18.	No. of Externally Funded	Completed:
	Research Projects: (Attach List)	Research Funding in Rs.
		Ongoing:
		Research Funding in Rs.
19.	No. of Nirma University	Completed:
	Funded Research Projects (Attach List):	Research Funding in Rs.
	MARKET MARKET CONTRACTOR	Ongoing:
		Research Funding in Rs.
20.	Collaborative/	No. of projects:
	Interdisciplinary Projects (outside NU) (Attach list)	
	(outside ive) (rittaeri list)	No. of agencies/ institutes involved:
21.	Centre of Excellence	Details:
22.	Interdisciplinary Projects	No. of ongoing projects:
	within NU / Idea Lab	
	Projects	No. of completed projects:
	want terroman	Outcome:
23.	Research Papers Published by the department	International Journals (nos.): (With valid impact factor as per Web of Science (Thomson – Reuter) / Scopus)
		Average Impact Factor (per contributing faculty):
		National Journals (nos.):
-		Litera Only
		Average Impact Factor (per contributing faculty):
MAL		International Conference (Abroad) (nos.)

I SUHO	ell simple of teaters to be used	
	decription of the second secon	International / National Conference (within India)(nos.):
24.	No. of Faculty with H – Index more than 3 (as per Scopus / Thomson Reuter)	
25.	No. of books published by the Department/Institute Faculty (with reputed publishers)	
26.	No. of books chapters published by the Department / Institute Faculty	
27.	No. of National / International Conferences Organised Per Department Per Year	No. of delegated registered and participated: No. of foreign delegates (if international):
		Names of Experts Participated:
28.	No. of Patents (Attach list)	No. of Patents Commercialized - No. of Patents Published - No. of Provisional Patents - No. of Patents Filed and Under Review -
29.	Revenue Generated from Consultancy: (Attach list)	Revenue generated from Patents in Rs. No. of Consultancy: No. of Testing: No. Training programmes to industries: No. Environmental Audit: Revenue Generated from: Consultancy: Testing:
30.	No. of faculty as resource: (Attach Sheet)	Training programme to industries: Environmental Audit: At international Level:
0.1	The same and the same of	At national level:
31.	Awards to the Faculty / Major achievements	At National level:

	(Attach Sheet)	At International level:
32.	Awards to the Students / Major achievements	At National level:
	(Attach Sheet)	At International level:
33.	National Seminars by Dept./ Institute	Organised:
	potential description	Attended:
34.	STTPs / Refresher Courses / Professional development	Organised:
	/ Industry based Faculty training (duration not less than one week)	Attended:
35.	Outcome based Education:	Status:
		Clarity on Roadmap:
36.	MoUs (by Dept. / Institute):	New:
	(Attach list)	Existing:
		Activities carried out during the year unde existing MoUs:
37.	CCE programmes:	No. of prog. organised and no. of days:
		No. of prog. attended:
38.	No. of Extension activities (Attach details):	No. of activities conducted:
	(Frederi details):	Total no. of beneficiaries:
	The second secon	Impact of activities in bulleted points:
		No. of NSS activities:
	Criterion III: Stude	ent Progression - Counselling
39.	Student centric activities per academic year (attach list)	No. of technical – curricular events and beneficiaries:

40.	Career Orientation Programmes (provide details in separate sheet)		prog. organ			
4.1	and the first of the second of	6.0/ 1				
41.		of Stu	dents' Ach	ievemen		I Activities at
	(during	the As	ssessment	Periodj		
	Co-curricular and Extra- curricular Activities	100	ultural ctivities	Spor Activi		Awareness Activities
	* Attach separate sheet indic event, organizer, prize (if any		ne details lil	ke name	of the	event, level of
42.	Student Performance in Nati	onal/ Ir	nternationa	l Level E	xamir	nations:
	Name of the Examina	tion	Number Previous			students ent Year
	UGC-CSIR NET		Tievious	rear	1105	ciit i'cai
	GATE				_	
	GPAT				7 7 9	- Water 1 / 19
	GRE					
	TOEFL					
	GMAT	<u> </u>				
	CAT					
	Indian Engineering Ser	vices				
	IELTS	VICCS				
	CLAT					
	A STATE OF THE STA					
	Any other (Specify					
43.	Feedback Received from	Condu	icted: Yes /	NO		
	Different Stakeholders: (Attach description, if possible)	Analys	sed: Yes / N	Го		
	Students	Suggestions received:				
		Suggestions implemented:				
	Alumni	Suggestions received:		To the state of th		
		Suggestions implemented:		University of the second		

	Parents	Suggestions received:
	and the reserved of the same o	Suggestions implemented:
	Employees	Suggestions received:
		Suggestions implemented:
	Peers	Suggestions received:
		Suggestions implemented:
44.	Innovations in teaching and learning and sustenance of best practices	
45.	Percentage of Courses/ Programmes that Formally Integrate e-learning Resources from NPTEL/ Digital Library Retrieval / Coursera / EdX etc:	
46.	Student Counselling:	Mentoring Process:
		Frequency of counselling:
		No. of student provided with career guidance:
		No. of other major cases (if any):
		Sexual harassment cases (if any):
	galidi, ay fi ka mwaninin manana	Ragging cases (if any):
47.	No. of companies visiting for the campus placement (and % increase with reference to previous year)	
48.	Percentage of students doing their major projects / thesis / dissertation /	Alirmo C.
ALL		WI WELL

	internship with (programme wise)	industry		
49.	Percentage of Stud	lent Progres	t and Higher Education:	
	Name of the Programme	Student Progression		Percentage
		UG to PG		
	75	PG to Ph.D)./JRF/RA	
	117 604 177	Employed	through Campus	
		Selection (a	against registered)	
7 - 1		Employed		
		campus re		
			ame, roll no., name	e of programme, name of
	university / organ			
50.	No. of start - up /			
	companies by the		Graduating year:	and Edition Course
	last one year		Name of the start-u	
F 1	NI C		Year of establishme	nt:
51.	No. of national	students		man being
	admitted to	institute		Company or a
	(programme wise)	mstitute		
52.	No. of your studen	ts ioining		
02.	higher studies in			
		(national,		
	international) (programme			
	wise):			
53.	Number of Progra	mmes for (Organized by depart	tments:
	Professional Dev			
	of students in a			
				idents (in house / outside
	progression)	l	aniversity):	
54.	Contribution of			
	Alumni/Parents fo	r		
	Development of Ur	niversity		
			J Policies and Adh	
55.	Percentage Repres	entation of f	aculty and Staff in	Decision Making Bodies:
	Name of th	e Bodies	% Representa	tion of Teaching Staff
	Academic Counc	il		
	Faculties			and a sufficiency of the suffici
	BoS/Area Comm	nittees	71842	Wife Turget Control
	Committee for D	ACT ACCOUNT OF THE PARTY OF THE	er	Table We also the fall of the
	Setters and Exa		yar was the state of the state	
	Result Declaration	on Committe	ee	
	Exam Reform Co	mmittee	Julia propile in the	Ca nune
	Any other		1 1 100	
				H2(1,)

IQAC – I	No. of meetings:
	Quality Initiatives / Important decisions of IQAC:
	Effect of IQAC decisions and Improvement observed:
Monitoring and Compliance to strategic plan	
Adherence of NU policies	
Innovative Practices	
Any other information	
which Department / Institute wishes to furnish:	
	Monitoring and Compliance to strategic plan Adherence of NU policies Innovative Practices Any other information which Department /





Academic Audit Level 4 – Audit by External Experts / Agency Institute of ______, Nirma University Report Sheet

Period of Audit:		Dates of Audit: Date of Report submission:
Audit Panel External Expert 1 External Expert 2		Names of the member
(Member) Secretary	- :	
Criteria-wise Observations of t Criteria I: Curricular Aspects, Positive Points:		
Weakness / Scope of Improver	ments:	
Actions Suggested:		
Criteria II: Research Publication Extension Positive Points:	ons / pror	notion, Consultancy, Training and
Weakness / Scope of Improver	ments:	

Actions Suggested:

Criteria III: Student Progression – counselling Positive Points:
Weakness / Scope of Improvements:
Actions Corporated
Actions Suggested:
Criteria IV: NU Policies and adherence
Criteria V: Innovations and Best Practices
Overall Comments, Recommendations and Suggestions for Improvements:
The observations by the audit panel are shared with me.
The state of the s
Director
Institute of
Date:

Page 2 of 4

NU/ADR Cell/Academic Audit/External Audit/01/21-04-2017

Academic Audit Level 4 – Audit by External Experts / Agency Institute of _______, Nirma University Evaluation Sheet

Period of Audit:

Dates of Audit:

Date of Report submission:

Audit Panel

Name of the members

External Expert 1

:

External Expert 2

:

(Member) Secretary

.

Criteria and Key Aspects	Weightage (W _i)	Key Aspect Grade Points 4/3/2/1/0	Key Aspect Wise Weighted Grade Points
Criterion I: Curricular Aspects, Te	aching - Le	arning and Ev	aluation
Curricular Planning and Implementation	30		
Academic Flexibility	40		
Curriculum Enrichment	40		
Feedback System and implementation	30		
Catering to Student Diversity	30		
Teaching-Learning Process	70		
Teacher Quality	40		
Teaching – learning resources, infrastructure and facilities	40	+ FUNDING AND CO	
Evaluation Process and Reforms	40		
Student Performance and Learning Outcomes	40		
TOTAL	400		
Calculated CrGPA _I =(CrWGP)	$_{\rm I}/{ m W}_{ m I}$ =	/400 =	
Criterion II: Research Publications / Exte	promotion, ension	Consultancy	Training and
Promotion of Research & Research Facilities	40		
Resource mobilization	40		
Research Publications and Awards	40		
Quality of Research Publications	20		
Consultancy, Training to industry, Testing	60		
Quality of Institute Research Journal	20		
Extension Activities and Institutional	50		
Social Responsibility			alal.
Responsibility Collaborations and activities under MoU	30		E CONTRACTOR
Responsibility Collaborations and activities under	30		University University

Criterion III: Student Pr	ogression	ı – counselling	
Student Mentoring and Support	50		
Student Progression	30		
Student Participation and Activities	20		
TOTAL	100		
Calculated CrGPA _{III} =(CrWGP)	III/WIII= _	/100 =	
Criterion IV: NU Poli	cies and	adherence	
Strategic plan, its implementation monitoring and progress	40		
Functioning and effectiveness of IQAC	30		
Implementation of NU Policies	30		
TOTAL	100		
Calculated CrGPA _{IV} =(CrWGP	$)_{IV}/W_{IV} = $	/100=	
Criterion V: Innovation	ns and B	est Practices	
Innovations	50		
Best Practices	50		
TOTAL	100		
Calculated CrGPA _V =(CrWGP	$v/W_V =$	/100 =	

Final CGPA:		=		
	1000			
Signature of Aud	lit Panel:			
Date:				

Page 4 of 4